

# UNITED STES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
		7 [	EX	AMINER	
		Г	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/021:370	HASHIMOTO, KEN		
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Daniel St.Cyr	2876		
		inication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
Period fo					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU- nisons of time may be available unlet the choice SIX (ii) MON-HS from the mailing date of this of period for reply is specified above the maximum period for reply is specified above the maximum to to teply, within the set or extended period for r	consider 2 CFR 1.136 (a). In the event however, ma communication.  1. (20) Give a republic within the statutory minimum of in statuting Lenndron of ply and will expire SIX (6) N reply will by stature in a republic cft in a communication, even in a strict in event of the communication, even	iny a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely  AONTHS from the making date of this communication  & ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133)		
1)	Responsive to communication(s	) filed on 14 February 2001			
2a)□	This action is FINAL	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)		tion for allowance except for formal ractice under Exparte Quayle, 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the	he application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s)i	s/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to				
8)	Claims are subject to res	triction and/or election requirement			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/	are objected to by the Examiner,			
11)	The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a) approved b	) disapproved.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	=	aim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
a)	⊠All b) Some * c) None d	of.			
	1 Certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been received.			
		rity documents have been received in	n Application No		
		es of the priority documents have be			
• 9		ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a ction for a list of the certified copies r			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a c	claim for domestic prority under 35 U	J S C § 119(e)		
A44b	4(-)				
Attachmen		18) Inter	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		
16) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14	ew (PTO-948) 19) Notic	ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

## DETAILED ACTION

## Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on 2/14/01 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37
 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/021,370 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) I patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this tille, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-25, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimamura et al. US Patent No. 5,522,509

Shimamura et al discloses an apparatus and a tableware sorting apparatus comprising: a reading means 23 for reading data in a non-contact state from a data carrier 12 attached to a container 11 of a dish selected by the customer; a calculating means 21 for calculating a charge for the one dish; a writing means is inherently included for writing the data in the data carrier in order for the system to operate. (See col. 4, lines 1-27); antennas 31.32, serve as input means for inputting data to be used to calculate the charge. (See col. 4, lines 39-47); the data carrier 12 is attached to the bottom 11a of the container 11, and said reading means reads the data collectively from the data carrier of the container placed on the tray 24. (See col. 4, lines 1-27); said reading means reads price data, the kind, of each dish from the carrier and said calculating means adds up the price of each dish and calculates the charge for the one dish and outputs the kind of dish in

a display. A register or a computer for storing the kind and the price, of each dish (see coll. 3, lines 24-27). (See col. 3, lines 35-52); one or more items of goods are arranged flatly so that the directions of attached data carriers is the same, and said reading means reads the data collectively from the data carriers of the one or more goods arranged flatly. (See figure 6; col. 4, lines 19-28).

Shimamura et al fail to disclose or fairly suggest that the tag is a rewritable tag. However tags, such as read-only tags, dynamic tags, and read write tags, are notoriously old and well known in the art for storing information. Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ read write tags into the system of Shimamura for the purpose of allowing a user to update the information, such as price change, in the tags. Regarding to the to the re-writable data carrier waiting a pre-determined period before answering the inquiring from the reading/writing means would have been an obvious expedient. Electronic devices are communicated through channels wherein once a device initiates contact the corresponding device wait for a period to receive the message and/or request before responding to the initiator. Therefore, it would have been obvious. Furthermore, these types of communication are well known in the art, such as networking, wherein each party waits for a period of time before responding to an inquiry. Therefor, it would have been an obvious expedient.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in a section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claim 4 is rejected under 35.1. S<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub> 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimamura et al in view of Lhrat, US Patent No. 3.836.755.

Shimamura et al do not disclose or fairly suggest a measuring means for measuring the weight of the dish or drink.

Ehrat discloses a self-service shop wherein a measuring means 182 for measuring and detecting the weight of the goods (see col. 3, lines 43-53).

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the measuring means of Ehrat into the system of Shimamura et al for the purpose of monitoring the goods from the tray of the adjusting apparatus. Furthermore, having a measuring means into the system of Shimamura et al would allow the system to sell goods according their weight wherein the adjusting apparatus would calculate the price of the item corresponding to its weight which would make the system more practical and more versatile. Therefore, it would have been an obvious expedient

 Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shepley, US Patent No. 5.478,989.

Shepley discloses a nutritional information system for shoppers comprising: a reading means 29 for reading data in non-contact state from a data carrier, such as bar code, attached to a container of the dish or drink selected by the customer; the system calculates the nutritional information of the dish or drink selected by the customer, and displays the information. (See figures 3, 5; col. 7, lines 27-46). Shepley does not specifically disclose that the system displays the caloric of the dish or drink and the data carrier are rewritable. However, Official notice is taken that rewritable bar codes are notoriously old and well known in the art for writing

Art Unit: 2876

information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to employ rewritable bar codes on the items in order to allow price updating. With regard to displaying the calories, Shepley discloses a nutritional information system for aiding customers with their purchase. Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide customers with the ability to obtain nutritional information, including calorie information, of the dish or drink in order to allow customers to make better food choices according to specific diets which contain a predetermined amount of calories. Therefore, it would have been an obvious expedient.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 703-305-2656. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-lift.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Michael G Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Daniel St.Cyr Examiner Art Unit 2876

KARL D FRECH

March \$ 2001